

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

ELECTRONIC

09/28/2010

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,678	04/26/2005	Masahiro Ishikawa	2005_0715A	4376
513 WENDEROTI	513 7590 99/28/2010 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. EXAMINER			UNER
1030 15th Street, N.W., TSAY, MARSHA Suite 400 East		ARSHA M		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1656		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/532,678	ISHIKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marsha M. Tsay	1656	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE	REPLY FILED 09 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
	application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time

The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NO	LICE	OF	ΔP	PF.	ΔI

2.	The Notice of Appeal was filed on	A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within to	wo months of the date	of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37	37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismi	ssal of the appeal. Sin	ce a
	Notice of Anneal has been filed any rent	by must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CER 41 37(s	a)	

AME	ND	MEI	NTS

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:, (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. Solution for allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4.
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: .

/Manjunath N. Rao / Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1656 M.Tsav Patent Examiner 1656 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the limitation "with the proviso that neither a sulfurous acid nor suffile is added to the solution" (recited in claim 1) is still considered to be new matter under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, written description, as discussed with Applicants' representative. A. Schmid, on September 21, 2010.

While the instant specification (p. 4) discloses that the addition of a sulfurous acid compound results in a fractionation problem, i.e. a little amount of 11S globulin is contaminated in a soluble fraction, it should be noted that the addition of a sulfurous compound appears to be used for the cryo-precipitatation phenomenon only whne the procedure involves a cooling step to 5 C. However, this cooling step is not a limitation in the currently calimed method.

It was proposed that amending open claim language "comprises" to "consists of" would place the claims in better condition for allowance. Applicants will consider filing a revised response,